

ON THE VARIETY GENERATED BY ALL NILPOTENT LATTICE-ORDERED GROUPS

V. V. BLUDOV AND A. M. W. GLASS

To Valerie Kopytov on his sixty-fifth birthday

ABSTRACT. In 1974, J. Martinez introduced the variety \mathcal{W} of weakly Abelian lattice-ordered groups; it is defined by the identity

$$x^{-1}(y \vee 1)x \vee (y \vee 1)^2 = (y \vee 1)^2.$$

We prove

Theorem A. *There is a centre-by-metabelian weakly Abelian ordered group that does not belong to the variety of lattice-ordered groups generated by all nilpotent lattice-ordered groups.*

This answers two questions of V. M. Kopytov.

We extend our techniques to show

Theorem B. *The quasivariety generated by all nilpotent lattice-ordered groups is the same as the variety generated by all nilpotent lattice-ordered groups.*

Our proof also gives a set of defining identities for this variety.

In contrast to Theorem A we show

Theorem C. *Every Abelian-by-nilpotent weakly Abelian lattice-ordered group belongs to the variety of lattice-ordered groups generated by all nilpotent lattice-ordered groups.*

1. BASIC DEFINITIONS AND FACTS

We will use the shorthand \mathbb{Z} for the group of integers under addition with the usual ordering, \mathbb{N} for the set of non-negative integers, and \mathbb{R} for the additive group of real numbers with the usual ordering.

We will use standard group-theoretic notation, as in, e.g., [7] and [14]. In particular, if G is any group, we write $\zeta(G)$ for the *centre* of G ; and if $f, g, h \in G$, we write f^g for $g^{-1}fg$ and $[f, g]$ for $f^{-1}g^{-1}fg = f^{-1}f^g$; and $[f, g, h]$ as a shorthand for $[[f, g], h]$, etc. If H and K are subgroups of G , we write $[H, K]$ for the subgroup

Received by the editors December 27, 2003.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 06F15, 20F18, 20F12.

Key words and phrases. Nilpotent group, residually torsion-free-nilpotent, variety, quasivariety, commutator calculus, lattice-ordered group, weakly Abelian.

The first author was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, grant no. 03-01-00320.

©2006 American Mathematical Society
 Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

generated by $\{[h, k] : h \in H, k \in K\}$ and define the lower central series $\gamma_m(G)$ of G inductively:

$$\gamma_1(G) = G, \gamma_{m+1}(G) = [\gamma_m(G), G]$$

(for all $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). Each $\gamma_m(G)$ is an invariant subgroup of G (and hence a normal subgroup of G). Moreover, G is *nilpotent class c* if and only if $\gamma_{c+1}(G) = \{1\}$; i.e., if $[g_1, \dots, g_{c+1}] = 1$ for all $g_1, \dots, g_{c+1} \in G$. Thus $G/\gamma_{c+1}(G)$ is nilpotent of class c for all groups G . Throughout, let $I_k(G)$ be the isolator subgroup of $\gamma_k(G)$ in G ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$), and $\Gamma(G)$ be $\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} I_k(G)$.

If \mathfrak{X} is a class of groups closed under isomorphisms, then a group G is called *residually \mathfrak{X}* if there is a family $\{N_i : i \in I\}$ of normal subgroups of G with $\bigcap_{i \in I} N_i = \{1\}$ and each $G/N_i \in \mathfrak{X}$; i.e., G is a subdirect product of the \mathfrak{X} -groups G/N_i ($i \in I$).

A group equipped with lattice operations such that $x(y \vee z)t = xyt \vee xzt$ and $x(y \wedge z)t = xyt \wedge xzt$ for all x, y, z, t is called a *lattice-ordered group* (or ℓ -group, for short). If the lattice order is total (i.e., for any pair of elements x & y , either $x \vee y = x$ or $x \vee y = y$), then the ℓ -group is said to be an *ordered group* (or o -group for short). As usual, we write $x \leq y$ as a shorthand for either $x \vee y = y$ or $x \wedge y = x$.

Let G be a partially ordered group (or p.o. group, for short), $G^+ = \{g \in G : g \geq 1\}$ and $G_+ = G^+ \setminus \{1\}$. If X is a subset of a group G , let $N_G(X)$ be the normal subsemigroup of G generated by X . As is well known (see, e.g., [12, page 2]),

Lemma 1.1. *G can be made into a p.o. group with $G_+ = N_G(X)$ if and only if $1 \notin N_G(X)$.*

Recall [12, Theorems 3.1.5 and 3.1.7]

Lemma 1.2. *If G is a nilpotent or metabelian p.o. group, then the order can be extended so that G becomes an o -group.*

As is well known (see, e.g., [4, Chapter 2]), every element of an ℓ -group G can be written in the form fg^{-1} for some $f, g \in G^+$; moreover, $\{|g| : g \in G\} = G^+ = \{g \vee 1 : g \in G\}$ where we write $|g|$ for $g \vee g^{-1}$. Therefore G^+ completely determines the order on G .

A subgroup C of an o -group G is called *convex* if for all $c_1, c_2 \in C$, $g \in G$, ($c_1 \leq g \leq c_2$ implies $g \in C$). The set of convex subgroups of an o -group is totally ordered by inclusion [4, Lemma 3.1.2]. The set is closed under unions and intersections. Hence given any non-identity element g of an o -group G , there is a unique subgroup C_g maximal with respect to not containing g . It is called the *value* of g and is strictly contained in the convex subgroup $C(g)$ of G generated by g . Furthermore, $C_g \triangleleft C(g)$. The pair $(C_g, C(g))$ is called a *convex jump*, and $C(g)/C_g$ is isomorphic (as an o -group) to an additive subgroup of \mathbb{R} (see, e.g., [4, Chapters 3 and 4]).

As is also standard, we write $f \ll g$ for $f^n \leq |g|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (and say that f is *very much less* than g). In any o -group, $f_1 f_2 \ll g$ whenever $f_1 \ll g$ & $f_2 \ll g$ (see, e.g., [4, Chapter 3]).

Residually ordered ℓ -groups form a variety \mathcal{R} in the class of all ℓ -groups (see, e.g., [4, Section 3.8] or [9, Section 9.3]). Hence any $G \in \mathcal{R}$ is ℓ -isomorphic to a subdirect product of o -groups. So any subvariety of \mathcal{R} is generated by all of its o -groups. But any o -group is o -isomorphic to a subgroup of an ultraproduct of its

finitely generated subgroups. Consequently we get:

Lemma 1.3. *Any subvariety of \mathcal{R} is generated by its finitely generated o-groups.*

This is one of the essential tools in our proofs.

A lattice-ordered group G is called *weakly Abelian* if $g^{-1}|f|g \leq |f|^2$ for all $f, g \in G$ [11] (or see [4, Section 6.4] or [9, Sections 6.2 and 9.4]). Equivalently, with the above shorthand, this law can be written in the form

$$f^g \leq f^2 \text{ for all } f \in G^+, g \in G.$$

Throughout we will use the following well-known result [11] (or see, e.g., [4, Lemma 6.4.1]):

Lemma 1.4. *An ℓ -group G is weakly Abelian if and only if $||[f, g]| \ll |f|$ for all $f, g \in G$.*

Hence every weakly Abelian ℓ -group is residually ordered; i.e., a subdirect product of (weakly Abelian) o-groups [*ibid.*]. Weakly Abelian o-groups are called *centrally ordered* and have recently been studied by the authors with A. H. Rhemtulla (see [2] and [3]). Note that in any centrally ordered group, $C(g)$ and C_g are normal subgroups of G for all $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$. Moreover, $C_g g$ is central in G/C_g and $[G, C(g)] \subseteq C_g$ [*op. cit.*].

We will need one fact from [3]:

Lemma 1.5 ([3, Theorem D]). *If G is a finitely generated centrally ordered Abelian-by-nilpotent group and g is a non-identity element of G , then G/C_g is residually torsion-free-nilpotent.*

Any locally nilpotent ℓ -group is weakly Abelian ([8], [13] or see [4, Theorem 6.D] or [9, Theorem 9.4.1]); so the variety of ℓ -groups generated by all nilpotent ℓ -groups is contained in \mathcal{W} . In 1984, V. M. Kopytov asked if the converse were true [16, Question 40] (cf., Kopytov's stronger question [10, Problem 5.23]).

Since weakly Abelian ℓ -groups are residually ordered, the question is equivalent to:

Does every centrally ordered group belong to the variety of lattice-ordered groups generated by all nilpotent lattice-ordered groups?

Theorem A provides negative answers to Kopytov's questions.

For prior work on this topic, see [5] and [6].

Let \mathfrak{N} be the class of all lattice-ordered groups that are nilpotent.

Throughout, let $\hat{\mathfrak{N}}$ denote the variety of lattice-ordered groups generated by \mathfrak{N} . So $\hat{\mathfrak{N}}$ is defined by all identities that hold in all nilpotent ℓ -groups. Every element of $\hat{\mathfrak{N}}$ is an ℓ -homomorphic image of a subdirect product of nilpotent o-groups.

We will write $q(\mathfrak{N})$ for the quasi-variety of lattice-ordered groups generated by \mathfrak{N} . It is the smallest class of ℓ -groups that is closed under ℓ -isomorphisms, sublattice subgroups, direct products and ultraproducts and contains \mathfrak{N} . It is the class of ℓ -groups defined by all implications of the form

$$\begin{aligned} (\forall x_1, \dots, x_n) [(u_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1 \ \& \ \dots \ \& \ u_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1) \\ \rightarrow w(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1] \end{aligned}$$

that hold in all ℓ -groups belonging to \mathfrak{N} , where $u_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, u_k(x_1, \dots, x_n), w(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are ℓ -group words.

Clearly, $q(\mathfrak{N}) \subseteq \hat{\mathfrak{N}}$.

2. THE PROOF OF THEOREM A

Let G be a group with generators a_1, a_2, y, c and relations

- (1) $[a_1, a_2] = [a_1, y] = [a_2, y] = 1,$
- (2) $[a_i^{\pm 1}, c, c] = [a_i^2, c, c] = 1 \quad (i = 1, 2),$
- (3) $cc^{a_2^2} = c^{2y},$
- (4) $[[a_1^2, c], [a_2, c]] = [[a_1^2, c], [a_2^{-1}, c]],$
- (5) $[d, a_1] = [d, a_2] = [d, y] = [d, c] = 1,$

where $d := [[a_1^2, c], [a_2, c]]$.

This is a finitely presented group. By (5),

$$(6) \quad d \in \zeta(G).$$

To prove Theorem A, we first establish two facts:

(i) if G^ψ is a torsion-free nilpotent homomorphic image of G , then $d^\psi = 1$ (and so $d \in \Gamma(G)$);

(ii) G has a centrally orderable homomorphic image G^φ with $d^\varphi \neq 1$.

To prove (i) we need

Lemma 2.1. *In G ,*

$$(7) \quad c \in \zeta(\langle c, c^{\langle a_1^2 \rangle}, c^{\langle a_2^{\pm 1} \rangle} \rangle),$$

and for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$(8) \quad [[a_1^2, c], [a_2^{2m+1}, c]] = d.$$

Proof. Trivially, in any group L ,

$$(9) \quad [x, y] = 1 \text{ implies } [x^p, y^q] = 1 \text{ for all } p, q \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By standard commutator calculus and induction on k ,

$$(10) \quad [x, y, y] = 1 \text{ implies } [x, y^k] = [x, y]^k \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Moreover,

$$[x, y, y] = [y^{-x}y, y] = [y^{-x}, y]^y.$$

Thus

$$(11) \quad [x, y, y] = 1 \text{ if and only if } [y^x, y] = 1.$$

This gives (7).

We prove (8) by induction on $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{-1\}$.

By (4), $d = [[a_1^2, c], [a_2^{-1}, c]]$, so (8) is true if $m = -1$ or 0.

We now induct on m using y .

We have $d = [c^{a_1^2}, c^{a_2}] = [c^{a_1^2}, c^{a_2^{-1}}]$.

Assume that

$$d^4 = [c^{2a_1^2}, c^{2a_2^{2k+1}}] = [c^{2a_1^2}, c^{2a_2^{2k-1}}].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 d^4 &= [c^{2a_1^2}, c^{2a_2^{2k+1}}]^y = [c^{a_1^2} c^{a_1^2 a_2^2}, c^{a_2^{2k+1}} c^{a_2^{2k+3}}] \\
 &= [c^{a_1^2}, c^{a_2^{2k+1}} c^{a_2^{2k+3}}] c^{a_1^2 a_2^2} \cdot [c^{a_1^2 a_2^2}, c^{a_2^{2k+1}} c^{a_2^{2k+3}}] \\
 &= [c^{a_1^2}, c^{a_2^{2k+3}}] c^{a_1^2 a_2^2} \cdot [c^{a_1^2}, c^{a_2^{2k+1}}] c^{a_2^{2k+3}} c^{a_1^2 a_2^2} \cdot [c^{a_1^2 a_2^2}, c^{a_2^{2k+3}}] \cdot [c^{a_1^2 a_2^2}, c^{a_2^{2k+1}}] c^{a_2^{2k+3}} \\
 &= [c^{a_1^2}, c^{a_2^{2k+3}}] c^{a_1^2 a_2^2} \cdot d^3.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $[c^{a_1^2}, c^{a_2^{2k+3}}] c^{a_1^2 a_2^2} \in \zeta(H)$ and $[c^{a_1^2}, c^{a_2^{2k+3}}] = d$. So $[c^{a_1^2}, c^{a_2^n}] = d$ for all odd $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. But $[[a_1^2, c], [a_2^n, c]] = [c^{a_1^2}, c^{a_2^n}]$ using (7) and standard commutator calculus. (8) now follows. \square

We now use Lemma 2.1 to establish (i).

Let p be an odd prime and $2m+1$ range over all powers of p in (8). By Gruenberg's Theorem [14, Theorem 5.2.21], every finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent group is a residually finite p -group. By (8), $d = 1$ in any finite p -group that is an image of G . Therefore, $d = 1$ in every torsion-free nilpotent homomorphic image of G . \square

We now prove (ii). That is, the initial group, G , has a centrally ordered homomorphic image G^φ with $d^\varphi = [[a_1^2, c], [a_2, c]]^\varphi \neq 1$. For this we construct an example. The first examples we considered were based on [1, Statement 6]; what is presented here is several modifications later.

Example 2.2. Let C be the free nilpotent class 2 group with free generators $\{c_{m,n} : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. The centre of C is the free Abelian group with free generators $[c_{m,n}, c_{p,q}]$ ($m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$) where $(m, n) > (p, q)$ lexicographically. We embed C in a divisible nilpotent class 2 group C^\flat ; so each element of C^\flat can be written uniquely (to within the order that the commutators appear) in the form

$$c_{m_1, n_1}^{r_1} \cdots c_{m_k, n_k}^{r_k} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} [c_{p_i, q_i}, c_{p'_i, q'_i}]^{t_i},$$

where $(m_1, n_1) > \cdots > (m_k, n_k)$ lexicographically, $p_i, p'_i, q_i, q'_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $(p_i, q_i) > (p'_i, q'_i)$ lexicographically ($i = 1, \dots, \ell$) and $r_1, \dots, r_k, t_1, \dots, t_\ell \in \mathbb{Q}$ (see [15, Theorem 8.5]). We add the relations

$$(12) \quad [c_{m,n}, c_{p,q}] = [c_{m-p,0}, c_{0,q-n}] \quad (m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

$$(13) \quad [c_{m,0}, c_{0,2q}] = [c_{2m+1,0}, c_{0,2q+1}] = 1 \quad (m, q \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

$$(14) \quad [c_{2m,0}, c_{0,2q+1}] = [c_{2,0}, c_{0,1}]^m \quad (m, q \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

and quotient out from C^\flat the divisible normal subgroup determined by these relations. We obtain a factor group $C^\#$ with centre D , the divisible closure of $\langle d \rangle$ in $C^\#$, where $d := [c_{2,0}, c_{0,1}]$. So D is a rank 1 Abelian group.

Note that $C^\#$ is a divisible nilpotent class 2 group and each element of $C^\#$ can be uniquely written in the form

$$c_{m_1, n_1}^{r_1} \cdots c_{m_k, n_k}^{r_k} d^t,$$

where $(m_1, n_1) > \cdots > (m_k, n_k)$ lexicographically and $r_1, \dots, r_k, t \in \mathbb{Q}$ (see [15, Theorem 8.5]).

Let a, b be automorphisms of C^\flat determined by

$$(15) \quad a : c_{m,n} \mapsto c_{m+1,n} \quad b : c_{m,n} \mapsto c_{m,n+1}.$$

Note that a, b induce automorphisms of $C^\#$.

Proof. We need only show that a, b respect the relations (12)–(14). This is immediate since

$$[c_{m,0}, c_{0,q}]^a = [c_{m+1,0}, c_{1,q}] = [c_{m,0}, c_{0,q}] = [c_{m,1}, c_{0,q+1}] = [c_{m,0}, c_{0,q}]^b.$$

Therefore a and b induce well-defined automorphisms of $C^\#$. \square

We observe that automorphisms a and b commute and fix D pointwise.

So we can construct a splitting extension $K = C^\# \rtimes \langle a, b \rangle$ with Abelian top group $\langle a, b \rangle$. Note that $K/D \cong (C^\#/D) \wr \langle a, b \rangle$ and $C^\#/D$ is Abelian. Thus K/D is metabelian with torsion-free Abelian quotients $\gamma_n(K/D)/\gamma_{n+1}(K/D)$ and so is residually torsion-free-nilpotent. Furthermore, $\zeta(K) = D$.

Each element of K can be written uniquely in normal form

$$a^p b^q c_{m_1, n_1}^{r_1} \cdots c_{m_k, n_k}^{r_k} d^t,$$

where $p, q, m_1, n_1, \dots, m_k, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r_1, \dots, r_k, t \in \mathbb{Q}$, and $(m_1, n_1) > \cdots > (m_k, n_k)$ in the lexicographic order on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $L = \langle a, b, c_{0,0} \rangle$. Now $d = [c_{2,0}, [c_{0,0}, b^{2m+1}]]$, so by applying Gruenberg's Theorem to $L/I_n(L)$, we deduce that $d \in I_n(L)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Hence $d \in \Gamma(L) \subseteq \Gamma(K)$, whence $D \subseteq \Gamma(K)$. Since $\Gamma(K/D) = 1$, we have $\Gamma(K) \subseteq D$. Therefore $D = \Gamma(K)$. Since $C^\#$ is divisible and $[C^\#, C^\#] \leq \zeta(C^\#) = D$, by the normal form for elements of K we obtain $D = \Gamma(K) = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty \gamma_i(K)$. It follows that $\gamma_n(K)/\gamma_{n+1}(K) \cong \gamma_n(K/D)/\gamma_{n+1}(K/D)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Thus K has a central order with a series of convex subgroups

$$\{1\} < D = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty \gamma_i(K) < \cdots < \gamma_{n+1}(K) < \gamma_n(K) < \cdots < \gamma_1(K) = K.$$

By (12)–(14), for all $m, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ we get (in $C^\#$)

$$(16) \quad [c_{m+2,0}, c_{0,q}][c_{m-2,0}, c_{0,q}] = [c_{m,0}, c_{0,q}]^2 = [c_{m,0}, c_{0,q+2}][c_{m,0}, c_{0,q-2}].$$

In $C^\#$, $c_{m,n}$ commutes with $c_{m+2,n}$ and $c_{m,n+2}$ ($m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$). These lead us to the following:

Consider the injective endomorphism β of C^\flat determined by

$$(17) \quad \beta : c_{m,n} \mapsto c_{m,n+2}^{1/2} c_{m,n}^{1/2}.$$

A tedious but thoroughly routine verification shows that β respects the relations (12)–(14). Thus β induces an injective endomorphism of $C^\#$.

We extend β to an injective endomorphism of K by

$$(18) \quad \beta : a \mapsto a; \quad \beta : b \mapsto b.$$

Let $K^\flat = \bigcup \{K^{\beta^{-n}} : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ with central order inherited from K , and let $H = \langle K^\flat, \beta : (17), (18) \rangle$ be the ascending HNN-extension of K with respect to β . By the normal form for HNN-extensions, we see that H/D is a metabelian residually torsion-free-nilpotent group and $D = \zeta(H)$. Equations (17) and (18) show that β acts as the identity on D , and also on each $\gamma_n(K)/\gamma_{n+1}(K)$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$). The latter follows at once from $c_{m,n}^{r\beta} = c_{m,n}^r [c_{m,n}^{r/2}, b^2]$. Hence the central order on

K is preserved by the injective endomorphism β , and we can extend this central order to H by the spelling for HNN-extensions, with

$$K^b \ll \beta.$$

Let K_0 be the subgroup of H generated by $a, b, c_{0,0}, \beta$. Then K_0 , the “Kopytov group”, is centre-by-metabelian. By construction, the relations (1)–(5) hold in K_0 under the substitution $a, b, \beta, c_{0,0}$ for a_1, a_2, y, c , respectively, and $d \neq 1$ by the normal form of the HNN-extension. Moreover, K_0 is centrally orderable since H is.

Thus, K_0 is a centrally orderable homomorphic image of G satisfying (ii). \square

We must now show that (i) and (ii) imply Theorem A. This follows immediately from the following more general theorem.

Theorem D. *Let G_0 be a lattice-ordered group and $G_0 \in \hat{\mathfrak{N}}$. If there is a group homomorphism φ from a finitely presented group G into G_0 , then $\Gamma(G) \subseteq \ker(\varphi)$.*

For if G is defined by (1)–(5) and K_0 is the centrally ordered group constructed in Example 2.2, then it follows from (i) and (ii) that $d \in \Gamma(G) \setminus \ker(\varphi)$ where $G^\varphi = K_0$; hence $K_0 \in \mathcal{W} \setminus \hat{\mathfrak{N}}$ and Theorem A is established. \square

To prove Theorem D, we will transform certain types of group implications into ℓ -group identities. To achieve this we will need two lemmata.

Let H be a finitely generated group with generators h_1, \dots, h_n . Let $U = \langle u_1, \dots, u_k \rangle$ be a finitely generated subgroup of H . We write

$$\Gamma_U(H) = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} I(U^H \gamma_j(H)),$$

where $I(G)$ is the isolator of G . So $\Gamma_{\{1\}}(H) = \Gamma(H)$. By construction,

$$\{1\} \subseteq U \subseteq U^H \subseteq \Gamma_U(H) \subseteq H.$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let H be a finitely generated group with generators h_1, \dots, h_n , and let $U = \langle u_1, \dots, u_k \rangle$ be a finitely generated subgroup of H . Then for any $w \in \Gamma_U(H)$, there are $m_0, \dots, m_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that*

$$(19) \quad w^{m_0} u_1^{m_1} \cdots u_k^{m_k} \in \Gamma_{[U, H]}(H).$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let $F = F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be the free group and $U = \langle u_1, \dots, u_k \rangle$ a finitely generated subgroup of F . If $w \in \Gamma_{[U, F]}(F)$, then*

$$(**) \quad |w(y_1, \dots, y_n)| \ll \bigvee_{j=1}^k |u_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)|$$

holds in every nilpotent lattice-ordered group.

Actually, (**) is an infinite set of identities since $w_1 \ll w_2$ is a shorthand for $w_1^m \leq w_2$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We first show that the lemmata indeed imply Theorem D.

Proof. Let $G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_n : u_1(g_1, \dots, g_n) = 1, \dots, u_k(g_1, \dots, g_n) = 1 \rangle$. Let F be the free group on x_1, \dots, x_n and $u_j = u_j(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be the result of replacing each occurrence of g_i in $u_j(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ by x_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$; $1 \leq j \leq k$). Then the natural homomorphism $\psi : F \rightarrow G$ determined by $x_i \mapsto g_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) has kernel U^F where

$U = \langle u_1, \dots, u_k \rangle$. If $w(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \Gamma(G) \setminus \ker(\varphi)$, then $w(g_1, \dots, g_n)^\varphi \neq 1$ in G_0 . But $w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Gamma_U(F)$ by definition. By Lemma 2.3, there are $m_0, \dots, m_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\hat{w} := w^{m_0} u_1^{m_1} \dots u_k^{m_k} \in \Gamma_{[U, F]}(F).$$

By Lemma 2.4,

$$1 \neq |w(g_1, \dots, g_n)^\varphi|^{m_0} = |\hat{w}(g_1, \dots, g_n)^\varphi| \ll \prod_{j=1}^k |u_j(g_1, \dots, g_n)^\varphi| = 1,$$

since $u_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, u_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \ker(\varphi)$. This contradiction establishes the theorem. \square

We now prove Lemma 2.3.

Proof. Let $w \in \Gamma_U(H)$. Then for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there is a positive integer t_j such that $w^{t_j} \in U^H \gamma_j(H)$; say,

$$(*_j) \quad w^{t_j} \equiv u_1^{m_{1,j}} \dots u_k^{m_{k,j}} \pmod{[U, H]^H \gamma_j(H)}$$

with $m_{1,j}, \dots, m_{k,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$. We call $(*_j)$ a j^{th} -representation for w ; it is not usually unique.

If $t'_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $m'_{1,j}, \dots, m'_{k,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$(*'_j) \quad (w')^{t'_j} \equiv u_1^{m'_{1,j}} \dots u_k^{m'_{k,j}} \pmod{[U, H]^H \gamma_j(H)},$$

then we say that the $(*_j)$ representation is less than the $(*_j')$ representation if

$$(|m_{1,i}|, \dots, |m_{k,i}|) < (|m'_{1,i}|, \dots, |m'_{k,i}|),$$

in the lexicographic ordering on \mathbb{N}^k . This is a well-ordering.

For each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, among all the representations $(*_j)$ for positive powers of w , choose one so that the right-hand side of $(*_j)$ is minimal. We will assume that the sequence $\{t_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ has been chosen so that, for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $(*_j)$ is minimal for all positive powers of w .

Fix j_1 and let $j \geq j_1$. We first establish:

Claim: If $m_{1,j_1} \neq 0$, then m_{1,j_1} divides $m_{1,j}$ and $t_j/t_{j_1} = m_{1,j}/m_{1,j_1}$.

Proof. Let $j \geq j_1$. Since $m_{1,j_1} \neq 0$, we can write $m_{1,j} = qm_{1,j_1} + r$ where $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq r < |m_{1,j_1}|$. Now

$$w^{t_j - qt_{j_1}} \equiv u_1^r u_2^{m_{2,j} - qm_{2,j_1}} \dots u_k^{m_{k,j} - qm_{k,j_1}} \pmod{[U, H]^H \gamma_{j_1}(H)}.$$

This contradicts the minimality of $(*_{j_1})$ unless $t_j = qt_{j_1}$. Hence

$$1 \equiv u_1^r u_2^{m_{2,j} - qm_{2,j_1}} \dots u_k^{m_{k,j} - qm_{k,j_1}} \pmod{[U, H]^H \gamma_{j_1}(H)}.$$

If $r \neq 0$, then

$$u_1^r \equiv u_2^{qm_{2,j_1} - m_{2,j}} \dots u_k^{qm_{k,j_1} - m_{k,j}} \pmod{[U, H]^H \gamma_{j_1}(H)}.$$

Raising both sides of $(*_{j_1})$ to the r^{th} -power and substituting the above gives a $(*_{j_1})$ representation of a positive power of w with the exponent on u_1 being $0 < |m_{1,j_1}|$. This contradicts the minimality of $(*_{j_1})$. Thus $r = 0$ and we have $m_{1,j}/m_{1,j_1} = q = t_j/t_{j_1}$. \square

The claim also implies that $m_{1,j} \neq 0$ if $j \geq j_1$ and $m_{1,j_1} \neq 0$ (since $t_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$).

Either $m_{1,j} = 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ or there is a least $j_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $m_{1,j_1} \neq 0$. Then for all $j \geq j_1$, there are $q_j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ such that the minimal j^{th} -representation for all positive powers of w is

$$w^{t_{j_1} q_j} \equiv u_1^{m_{1,j_1} q_j} u_2^{m_{2,j}} \dots u_k^{m_{k,j}} \pmod{[U, H]^H \gamma_j(H)}.$$

Let $w_1 = w^{t_{j_1}} u_1^{-m_{1,j_1}}$ if such a j_1 exists; if no such j_1 exists, let $j_1 = 1$ and $w_1 = w^{t_1} = w^{t_1} u_1^{-m_{1,1}}$.

Repeating the above argument with w_1 in place of w , we can find $j_2 \geq j_1$ and $q'_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$w_1^{t'_{j_2} q'_j} \equiv u_2^{m'_{2,j_2} q'_j} u_3^{m'_{3,j}} \dots u_k^{m'_{k,j}} \pmod{[U, H]^H \gamma_j(H)}$$

for all $j \geq j_2$.

Let $w_2 = w_1^{t'_{j_2}} u_2^{-m'_{2,j_2}}$.

Continuing in this way, we obtain $m_1, \dots, m_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m_0, q_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$(w^{m_0} u_1^{m_1} \dots u_k^{m_k})^{q_j} \in [U, H]^H \gamma_j(H)$$

for all sufficiently large $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

We now prove Lemma 2.4.

Proof. Assume the hypotheses of the lemma. Let G be a nilpotent class c o-group and $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$. Let $\varphi : F \rightarrow G_1 = \langle g_1, \dots, g_n \rangle$ be determined by $x_i \mapsto g_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). Let $v = v(g_1, \dots, g_n) = \bigvee_{j=1}^k |u_j(g_1, \dots, g_n)|$, and C_v be its value in G . Hence $u_1(g_1, \dots, g_n), \dots, u_k(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in C(v)$. By Lemma 1.4, $U^\varphi \subseteq C(v)$, the cover of C_v in G . Since G is weakly Abelian, $U^G \subseteq C(v)$; thus $([U, F]^F)^\varphi = [U^{G_1}, G_1] \subseteq [C(v), G] \subseteq C_v$. So if $w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Gamma_{[U, F]}(F)$, then $w(x_1, \dots, x_n)^m \in [U, F]^F \gamma_{c+1}(F)$ for some $m = m(c) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Thus $w(g_1, \dots, g_n)^m \in C_v$. Therefore $w(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in C_v$ (convex subgroups are isolated). Consequently

$$|w(g_1, \dots, g_n)| \ll \bigvee_{j=1}^k |u_j(g_1, \dots, g_n)|.$$

Since g_1, \dots, g_n were arbitrary in G , we get that $(**)$ holds in G . Hence $(**)$ holds in all nilpotent o-groups (it is independent of the nilpotency class of G), and so in $\hat{\mathfrak{N}}$. \square

We now have, for example, by Theorem D:

Corollary 2.5. *If a lattice-ordered group $G \in \hat{\mathfrak{N}}$ is finitely presented as an abstract group, then G is residually torsion-free-nilpotent.*

Finally in this section, we can now exhibit a central order on a free group that does not belong to $\hat{\mathfrak{N}}$; cf., [5].

Corollary 2.6. *There is a central order on F_4 , the free group on 4 generators, so that $(F_4, \leq) \notin \hat{\mathfrak{N}}$.*

Proof. Let K_0 be the centrally ordered Kopytov group of Example 2.2, and let a, b, c, β be the free generators of F_4 . Let $\theta : F_4 \rightarrow K_0$ be the homomorphism given by $a \mapsto a$, $b \mapsto b$, $c \mapsto c_{0,0}$, and $\beta \mapsto \beta$. Let $C = \ker(\theta)$. Then C is a free group and so can be centrally ordered using the series $C \cap \gamma_j(F_4)$ ($j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$). Then F_4 is

centrally ordered by $f > 1$ iff either $(f \notin C \text{ and } f^\theta \in (K_0)_+)$ or $f \in C_+$. Since $K_0 \notin \hat{\mathfrak{N}}$, we have $(F_4, \leq) \notin \hat{\mathfrak{N}}$. \square

3. IDENTITIES IN NILPOTENT ℓ -GROUPS

In Lemma 2.4, we found a set of identities that hold in $\hat{\mathfrak{N}}$. We now show that these are sufficient to define $\hat{\mathfrak{N}}$.

Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{N}}$ be the variety of ℓ -groups defined by the identities $(**)$ in Lemma 2.4. That is, the defining identities for $\tilde{\mathfrak{N}}$ are:

for each $k, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $u_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, u_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F = F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Gamma_{[U, F]}(F)$,

$$|w(x_1, \dots, x_n)|^m \leq \bigvee_{j=1}^k |u_j(x_1, \dots, x_n)|,$$

where $U = \langle u_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, u_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rangle$.

By Lemma 2.4,

$$\hat{\mathfrak{N}} \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{R}.$$

For, putting $k = 1$, $n = 2$, $u_1 = x_1$, and $w = [x_1, x_2] \in [[x_1], F] \subseteq \Gamma_{[[x_1], F]}(F)$, we obtain the identities $|[x_1, x_2]|^m \leq |x_1|$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ which define the weakly Abelian variety by Lemma 1.4.

The proof of Theorem D from Lemmata 2.3 and 2.4 (see Section 2) applies equally to $\tilde{\mathfrak{N}}$; that is,

Lemma 3.1. *Let G_0 be a lattice-ordered group and $G_0 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{N}}$. If there is a group homomorphism φ from a finitely presented group G into G_0 , then $\Gamma(G) \subseteq \ker(\varphi)$.*

The main result of this section is

Theorem E. $q(\mathfrak{N}) = \hat{\mathfrak{N}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{N}}$.

Since we have provided an explicit set of defining laws for $\tilde{\mathfrak{N}}$, Theorem E gives both Theorem B and a set of defining identities for $\tilde{\mathfrak{N}}$.

To prove the theorem, we will need one extra technical fact.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $F = F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a free group of rank n and $H = \langle h_1, \dots, h_n : u_1(h_1, \dots, h_n) = 1, \dots, u_p(h_1, \dots, h_n) = 1 \rangle$. Let $G \in \tilde{\mathfrak{N}}$ be an o-group that is a homomorphic image of H (qua group), say, $G = H^\varphi$ with $g_i = h_i^\varphi$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Let $\{w_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, w_k(x_1, \dots, x_n)\} \subseteq F$ be such that $\{w_1(g_1, \dots, g_n), \dots, w_k(g_1, \dots, g_n)\} \subseteq G_+$. Then there exists $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and a total order on $H/I_{j_0}(H)$ such that*

$$\{I_{j_0}(H)w_1(h_1, \dots, h_n), \dots, I_{j_0}(H)w_k(h_1, \dots, h_n)\} \subseteq (H/I_{j_0}(H))_+.$$

We now deduce Theorem E from Lemma 3.2.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, it suffices to show that $\tilde{\mathfrak{N}} \subseteq q(\mathfrak{N})$. Since $\tilde{\mathfrak{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$, by Lemma 1.3 it is enough to prove that every finitely generated o-group $G \in \tilde{\mathfrak{N}}$ belongs to $q(\mathfrak{N})$.

Let G be such an o-group generated by g_1, \dots, g_n . Let F be the free group on x_1, \dots, x_n . Let $\{R_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) : i \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ be the set of all words in F such that $R_i(g_1, \dots, g_n) = 1$ in G . Then $G \cong F/K$, where $K = \langle R_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) : i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \rangle^F$.

Let $\varphi : F \rightarrow G$ be the group homomorphism determined by $\varphi : x_\ell \mapsto g_\ell$ ($\ell = 1, \dots, n$). Let $\{c_i(g_1, \dots, g_n) : i \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ be an enumeration of G_+ .

For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $K_m = \langle R_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) : 1 \leq i \leq m \rangle^F$, and $G_m \cong F/K_m$; so $G_m = F^{\varphi_m}$, say. By construction, each G_m is a finitely presented group and the o-group G is a group homomorphic image of it.

By Lemma 3.2, for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ there is $j = j(m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and a total order on the torsion-free nilpotent group $N_{m,j(m)} := G_m/I_{j(m)}(G_m)$ such that elements

$$I_{j(m)}(G_m)c_1(x_1^{\varphi_m}, \dots, x_n^{\varphi_m}), \dots, I_{j(m)}(G_m)c_m(x_1^{\varphi_m}, \dots, x_n^{\varphi_m})$$

all belong to $(N_{m,j(m)})_+$.

Let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter on \mathbb{Z}_+ , and let $H = (\prod_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} N_{m,j(m)})/\mathcal{U}$ be the resulting ultraproduct. Since each $N_{m,j(m)}$ is an o-group, so is H . Moreover, since each $N_{m,j(m)}$ is nilpotent, we get $H \in q(\mathfrak{N})$. Then G can be mapped into H by mapping g_ℓ to the \mathcal{U} -equivalence class of the element whose m^{th} coordinate is $I_{j(m)}(G_m)x_\ell^{\varphi_m}$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$), where $\ell = 1, \dots, n$. Call this map θ . It is well defined since for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : i \leq m\} \in \mathcal{U}$ (so $R_i(g_1^\theta, \dots, g_n^\theta) = 1$). Thus θ is a group homomorphism that preserves order since $\{c_i(g_1, \dots, g_n) : i \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ is an enumeration of G_+ (again use $\{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : i \leq m\} \in \mathcal{U}$). Hence G is ℓ -isomorphic to a (sublattice) subgroup of the o-group $H \in q(\mathfrak{N})$. Consequently, $G \in q(\mathfrak{N})$. \square

It only remains to prove Lemma 3.2.

Proof. If the lemma were false, choose a counter-example G with k minimal. By the minimality and rechristening, we may assume that

- (I) $w_1(g_1, \dots, g_n) > \dots > w_k(g_1, \dots, g_n) > 1$ in G , and
- (II) there is $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and a total order on $H/I_{j_0}(H)$ in which

$$I_{j_0}(H)w_2(h_1, \dots, h_n), \dots, I_{j_0}(H)w_k(h_1, \dots, h_n) \in (H/I_{j_0}(H))_+.$$

Whenever $j \geq j_0$, there is a natural homomorphism from $H/I_j(H)$ onto $H/I_{j_0}(H)$ determined by $I_j(H)h \mapsto I_{j_0}(H)h$. Since $H/I_j(H)$ is a torsion-free nilpotent group, by Lemma 1.2 we can lift any total order from $H/I_{j_0}(H)$ to $H/I_j(H)$ (let $I_j(H)h \in (H/I_j(H))_+$ iff $I_{j_0}(H)h \in (H/I_{j_0}(H))_+$; extend this partial order to a total order on $H/I_j(H)$ by Lemma 1.2).

By Lemma 1.1, if $j \geq j_0$, we may assume that $b_2, \dots, b_k \in (H/I_j(H))_+$ but

$$1 \in N_{H/I_j(H)}(b_1, \dots, b_k),$$

where $b_i = I_j(H)w_i(h_1, \dots, h_n)$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$).

Let $d_i = w_i(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in G_+$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$), and $C = C_{d_1}$ be the value of d_1 in G . By (I) we have $d_1, \dots, d_k \in C(d_1)$ and $C(d_1)/C \subseteq \zeta(G/C)$.

Let $K = \langle [b_i, h_\ell] : i = 1, \dots, k, \ell = 1, \dots, n \rangle^H$. Let $\bar{H} = H/K$ and $\bar{G} = G/C$; write \bar{h} for Kh and \bar{g} for Cg ($h \in H$; $g \in G$). Since $\bar{d}_i \in \zeta(\bar{G})$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$), \bar{G} is a homomorphic image of \bar{H} under the naturally induced map, say, $\bar{G} = \bar{H}^\varphi$. Note that \bar{H} is a finitely presented group and $\bar{G} \in \mathfrak{N}$.

Now $\bar{d}_1 \geq \dots \geq \bar{d}_k \geq 1$ with $\bar{d}_1 \in \bar{G}_+$. Since $\bar{b}_i \in \zeta(\bar{H})$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$) and $1 \in N_{H/I_j(H)}(b_1, \dots, b_k)$ for all $j \geq j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have (for such j)

$$(20) \quad \bar{b}_1^{m_{1,j}} \dots \bar{b}_k^{m_{k,j}} \equiv 1 \pmod{I_j(\bar{H})}$$

with $m_{1,j} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $m_{2,j}, \dots, m_{k,j} \in \mathbb{N}$.

For each $j \geq j_0$, we define $\text{rank}_j(\bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_k)$ as the minimum of $|\{i \in \{1, \dots, k\} : m_{i,j} \neq 0\}|$ ranging over all equivalences that can occur in (20).

If $j \geq j_0$, the natural homomorphism from $\bar{H}/I_j(\bar{H})$ onto $\bar{H}/I_{j_0}(\bar{H})$ gives that $\bar{b}_1^{m_{1,j}} \cdots \bar{b}_k^{m_{k,j}} \equiv 1 \pmod{I_{j_0}(\bar{H})}$ whenever $\bar{b}_1^{m_{1,j}} \cdots \bar{b}_k^{m_{k,j}} \equiv 1 \pmod{I_j(\bar{H})}$. Hence $\text{rank}_j(\bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_k)$ is an increasing positive-integer-valued function of j , bounded above by k . Thus there is $j_1 \geq j_0$ such that $\text{rank}_j(\bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_k)$ is constant on all integers greater than or equal to j_1 , with $m_{i,j} = 0$ iff $m_{i,j_1} = 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$). By the minimality of k , we have that $\text{rank}_j(\bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_k) = k$ for all $j \geq j_1$. Thus, we can assume that if any of $m'_{1,j}, \dots, m'_{k,j}$ is 0, then $\bar{b}_1^{m'_{1,j}}, \dots, \bar{b}_k^{m'_{k,j}} \not\equiv 1$ in (20) ($j \geq j_1$).

So $m_{1,j}, \dots, m_{k,j} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ for all $j \geq j_1$.

By (20), $\bar{b}_1 \in \Gamma_{\bar{B}_1}(\bar{H})$, where $\bar{B}_1 = \langle \bar{b}_2, \dots, \bar{b}_k \rangle \subseteq \bar{H}$.

By Lemma 2.3, there exist $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\hat{b}_1 := \bar{b}_1^{t_1} \bar{b}_2^{t_2} \cdots \bar{b}_k^{t_k} \in \Gamma_{[\bar{B}_1, \bar{H}]}(\bar{H}).$$

But $[\bar{b}_i, \bar{H}] = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ by the definition of K . Hence $\hat{b}_1 \in \Gamma(\bar{H})$. Therefore, for all $j \geq j_1$,

$$(21) \quad \bar{b}_1^{t_1} \bar{b}_2^{t_2} \cdots \bar{b}_k^{t_k} \equiv 1 \pmod{I_j(\bar{H})}.$$

We now use that $\text{rank}_j(\bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_k) = k$ for all $j \geq j_1$ to get a contradiction if $t_i < 0$ for some $i = 2, \dots, k$.

For *reductio ad absurdum*, assume that $t_i < 0$ for some $i = 2, \dots, k$. Choose $r \leq k$ maximal so that there are $t_{i_2}, \dots, t_{i_r} < 0$, where i_2, \dots, i_r are distinct members of $\{2, \dots, k\}$. Fix $j \geq j_1$. By rechristening, we may assume that $-t_{i_2}/m_{i_2,j} = \max\{-t_{i_2}/m_{i_2,j}, \dots, -t_{i_r}/m_{i_r,j}\}$. By (20) and (21), we get

$$\bar{b}_1^{-t_{i_2} m_{1,j} + t_1 m_{i_2,j}} \bar{b}_{i_2}^{-t_{i_2} m_{i_2,j} + t_{i_2} m_{i_2,j}} \cdots \bar{b}_k^{-t_{i_2} m_{k,j} + t_k m_{i_2,j}} \equiv 1 \pmod{I_j(\bar{H})}.$$

In this expression, all exponents are non-negative by the maximality of the ratio, and $-t_{i_2} m_{i_2,j} + t_{i_2} m_{i_2,j} = 0 < -t_{i_2} m_{1,j} + t_1 m_{i_2,j}$. This contradicts that $\text{rank}_j(\bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_k) = k$. Thus $t_1, \dots, t_k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

But $\bar{G} = \bar{H}^{\bar{\varphi}}$ and $\bar{G} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{N}}$, so $\hat{b}_1 \in \ker(\bar{\varphi})$ by Lemma 3.1. Consequently,

$$1 = \hat{b}_1^{\bar{\varphi}} = (\bar{b}_1^{t_1} \bar{b}_2^{t_2} \cdots \bar{b}_k^{t_k})^{\bar{\varphi}} = \bar{d}_1^{t_1} \cdots \bar{d}_k^{t_k} > \bar{d}_1.$$

This is impossible as $\bar{d}_1 \in \bar{G}_+$. The proof of the lemma (and hence Theorem B) is now complete. \square

4. THE PROOF OF THEOREM C

We begin with a lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let G be a centrally ordered group. Suppose that G/C is residually torsion-free-nilpotent for each value C of G . Then $G \in q(\mathfrak{N})$.*

Proof. Suppose that $G \notin q(\mathfrak{N})$. Then $G \notin \tilde{\mathfrak{N}}$ by Theorem E, and so one of the identities (**) fails in G . Thus there are $k, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$, $u_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, u_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F = F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, and $w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Gamma_{[U, F]}(F)$ such that

$$(22) \quad |w(g_1, \dots, g_n)|^m > \bigvee_{j=1}^k |u_j(g_1, \dots, g_n)|,$$

where $U := \langle u_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, u_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rangle$.

Let $C = C_w$ be the value of $w = w(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ in G , and $H = G/C$. Let $\bar{g} := Cg$ ($g \in G$), $\bar{v} = Cv(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ ($v \in F$), and $\bar{U} = \langle \bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_k \rangle \subseteq H$. Now $C(w)/C \subseteq \zeta(H)$, so $\bar{w}, \bar{u}_j \in \zeta(H)$ ($j = 1, \dots, k$). It follows that $[\bar{U}, H] = 1$. Since $w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Gamma_{[U, F]}(F)$, we get $\bar{w} \in \Gamma_{[\bar{U}, H]}(H) = \Gamma(H)$. This contradicts that H is residually torsion-free-nilpotent. \square

This is enough to prove Theorem C.

Proof. Since weakly Abelian ℓ -groups are residually ordered, it is enough to prove the theorem for finitely generated centrally ordered Abelian-by-nilpotent groups by Lemma 1.3. The result follows from Lemmata 1.5 and 4.1. \square

Corollary 4.2. (i) *There is a weakly Abelian lattice-ordered group with the maximal condition on normal subgroups that does not belong to $\hat{\mathfrak{N}}$.*

(ii) *$\hat{\mathfrak{N}}$ is not closed under central extensions.*

Proof. Since Example 2.2 is cyclic-by-(finitely generated metabelian), it satisfies the maximal condition on normal subgroups. (ii) follows from Example 2.2 and Theorem C. \square

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The results we have obtained beg several questions. The easiest to state are:

1. Does $\hat{\mathfrak{N}}$ have a finite set of defining identities? We suspect not.
2. What varieties of lattice-ordered groups can occur between $\hat{\mathfrak{N}}$ and \mathcal{W} ? Is it possible that the latter covers the former (in which case the answer to Kopytov's question is only just "no")?

Added in proof. The results in Section 3 can be used to obtain the converse of Theorem D. Consequently, $q(\mathfrak{N})$ can be defined by *group* implications and contains the same groups as the quasi-variety generated by all torsion-free nilpotent groups.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first author would like to thank Queens' College, Cambridge and the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant no. 03-01-00320) for funding his visit to Cambridge (9/25/03—10/10/03). He is most grateful to the College and DPMMS for their help and hospitality while he was visiting. The second author wishes to thank John S. Wilson for several discussions that resulted in the simplification of the proof of (i) (in Section 2) presented here.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. V. Bludov, *On locally nilpotent groups*, Trudy Inst. Mat. Sobolev **30** (1996), 26–47 (in Russian); English translation, Siberian Advances in Math. **8** (1998) 49–79. MR1651902 (99m:20074)
- [2] V. V. Bludov, A. M. W. Glass and A. H. Rhemtulla, *Ordered groups in which all convex jumps are central*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **40** (2003), 225–239. MR1958028 (2003j:06020)
- [3] V. V. Bludov, A. M. W. Glass and A. H. Rhemtulla, *On centrally ordered groups*, J. Algebra **291** (2005), 129–143. MR2158514 (2006h:20053)
- [4] A. M. W. Glass, *Partially Ordered Groups*, World Scientific Pub. Co., Singapore, 1999. MR1791008 (2001g:06002)
- [5] A. M. W. Glass, *Weakly Abelian lattice-ordered groups*, Proc. American Math. Soc. **129** (2001), 677–684; corrigendum: *ibid* **130** (2001), 925–926. MR1801994 (2002a:06022)

- [6] S. A. Gurchenkov, *On varieties of weakly Abelian ℓ -groups*, Mat. Slovaca **42** (1992), 437–441. MR1195037 (94a:20067)
- [7] P. Hall, *Nilpotent Groups*, Lectures given at the Canadian Mathematical Congress, University of Alberta, 1957.
- [8] V. M. Kopytov, *Lattice-ordered locally nilpotent groups*, Algebra i Logika **14** (1975), 407–413 (in Russian); English translation Algebra and Logic **14** (1975), 249–251. MR0401583 (53:5410)
- [9] V. M. Kopytov and N. Ya. Medvedev, *The Theory of Lattice-ordered Groups*, Kluwer Acad. Pub., Dordrecht, 1994. MR1369091 (97k:06028)
- [10] Kourovka Notebook, *Unsolved Problems in Group Theory*, eds. V. D. Mazurov and E. I. Khukhro, 13th edition, Novosibirsk, 1995. MR1392713 (97d:20001)
- [11] J. Martinez, *Varieties of lattice-ordered groups*, Math. Z. **137** (1974), 265–284. MR0354483 (50:6961)
- [12] R. B. Mura and A. H. Rhemtulla, *Orderable Groups*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Maths. **27**, M. Dekker, New York, 1977. MR0491396 (58:10652)
- [13] N. R. Reilly, *Nilpotent, weakly Abelian and Hamiltonian lattice-ordered groups*, Czech. Math. J. **33** (1983), 348–353. MR0718919 (85m:06035)
- [14] D. J. S. Robinson, *A Course in the Theory of Groups* (second edition), Graduate Texts in Math. **80**, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1996. MR1357169 (96f:20001)
- [15] R. Warfield, *Nilpotent Groups*, Lecture Notes in Math. 513, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976. MR0409661 (53:13413)
- [16] *The Black Swamp Problem Book* is edited by W. Charles Holland (Bowling Green State University, Ohio 43403, U.S.A.) and is kept there by him (in the formerly Black Swamp region of Ohio).

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND ECONOMICS, IRKUTSK STATE UNIVERSITY, IRKUTSK, 664003
RUSSIA

E-mail address: bludov@math.isu.ru

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS, CENTRE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, WILBERFORCE RD., CAMBRIDGE CB3 0WB, ENGLAND

E-mail address: amwg@dpms.cam.ac.uk